## Characterizing cases and controls for opioid use disorders using electronic health records: a phenomic and genomic exploration in over 1 million individuals

Maria Niarchou<sup>1</sup>, Ellen Tsai<sup>1\*</sup>, Annika Faucon<sup>1\*</sup>, Mariela V Jennings<sup>2</sup>, Hyunjoon Lee<sup>3</sup>, Kritika Singh<sup>1</sup>, Justin Tubbs<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Tian Ge<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Jordan W Smoller<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Lea K Davis<sup>,7,8,9</sup> Brandon Coombes<sup>10</sup>, Travis T Mallard<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Vanessa Troiani<sup>11</sup>, Sandra Sanchez-Roige<sup>1,2,12</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego; <sup>3</sup>Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital; <sup>4</sup>Center for Precision Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital; <sup>5</sup>Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School; <sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School; <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Genetic Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; <sup>8</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; <sup>9</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; <sup>10</sup>Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Mayo Clinic; <sup>11</sup>Department of Autism and Developmental Medicine, Geisinger; <sup>12</sup>Institute for Genomic Medicine, University of California San Diego \*shared second authors

In the midst of a global opioid crisis, uncovering the genetic underpinnings of opioid use disorder (OUD) is critical. However, efforts to understand etiology via genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been hampered by insufficient phenotypic data in cases and controls and a reliance on highly ascertained samples. Although optimal characterization of OUD cases and controls remains challenging, the integration of extensive electronic health record (EHR) and genomic data offers new promise for advancing GWAS of OUD. Leveraging data from over 1 million patients across two healthcare systems, in this project we are iteratively determining the number of OUD diagnostic (ICD) codes needed for accurate identification of OUD well-known comorbidities, and evaluating the impact of including exposed vs. unscreened controls via phenome-wide association studies (PheWAS) and GWAS. Preliminary PheWAS results indicate that the inclusion of ≥1 OUD ICD codes recapitulates known OUD comorbidities (e.g. substance use disorders; pain). These associations are more pronounced in the unscreened group (i.e., 727 vs 644 in the exposed group) and of higher magnitude (mean OR(SE)=3.83±0.05 vs OR=2.52±0.52). In contrast, differences are less apparent in GWAS; associations with coding OPRM1 SNP rs1799971 (p=8.83E-03 vs p=1.83E-02) and genetic correlations with clinically ascertained cohorts ( $r_g$ =0.83±0.26 vs  $r_g$ =0.86±0.29) are comparable across groups. Replication analyses are underway in All of Us. This study represents the first effort to optimize case/control definitions using EHR data and PheWAS with the ultimate goal of enhancing OUD genetic research.